

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/842,313	04/24/2001	Kevin D. Weller	VISAP064	5667	
22434 75	90 11/10/2004		EXAMINER		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			REAGAN, JAMES A		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DEIGHELLI, C	311 31101 0770		3621		
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	'' ',				
Office Action Summary		09/842,313	WELLER ET AL.	5			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James A. Reaga					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	on appears on the cover	r sheet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, treply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeltion. Is, a reply within the statutory miry y period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	aly. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 24 April 2001.					
	• •	☐ This action is non-fin	al.				
3)□							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-23 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consider					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by			` <i>'</i>			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for factorial All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docenses of the priority docenses of the priority docenses of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been rece uments have been rece e priority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	vived. Sived in Application No Sive been received in this National (a)).	l Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)	•					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1+</u> .	(SB/08) 5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTo Other:	O-152)			

09/842,313 Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed on 24 April 2001.
- 2. Claims 3-6 and 24-31 have been cancelled.
- 3. Claims 7-23 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The Information Disclosure Statements filed have been considered. Initialed copies of the Form 1449 are enclosed herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requires of this title.

- 6. Claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:
 - (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
 - (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

Art Unit: 3621

7. For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, the recited steps of merely requesting, verifying, and notifying do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed without the use of any technological apparatus, system or method such as, for example, a computer system, database, electronic circuit, or software application. These steps only constitute a method that is easily attainable without the use of any state-of-the-art devices or techniques.

8. As to technological arts recited in the preamble, mere recitation in the preamble (i.e., intended or field of use) or mere implication of employing a machine or article of manufacture to perform some or all of the recited steps does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea unless there is positive recitation in the claim as a whole to breathe life and meaning into the preamble. In the present case, although the preamble claims an online system, the limitations in the body of the claim provide no evidence of such a method or system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

09/842,313

Art Unit: 3621

10. Claim 17 recites the limitation "said digitally signed transaction receipt." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 7-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barnes et al. (US 5,970,475 A).

Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 7-13, 16, and 18-23:

Barnes, in at least Figures 1-4 and 9 as well as column 3, line 39 to column 4, line 4 and other related and applicable text discloses an online merchant/consumer purchasing system with payment verification through a trusted third party banking institution that includes customer password and account verification, servers, databases, enrollment, automated payments, and security procedures to effect electronic commerce.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes in view of Asay et al. (US 5,903,882 A).

Claims 14, 15, and 17:

Barnes discloses the online purchasing and bill-paying system as shown above. Barnes does not disclose digital signatures and hashes. Asay, however, in at lest column 38, lines 33-44 does. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine Barnes' online purchasing and bill-paying system with use of digital signature technology because it would increase the security of the online transaction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **James A. Reagan** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-9131**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3900.** Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(703) 308-1396 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED"

Jan Aly

or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

JAR

08 November 2004.